

# Descartes's Rule of Signs

classmate

Date \_\_\_\_\_

Page \_\_\_\_\_

## Descartes's Rule of Signs - Positive Roots :->

No equation can have more positive roots than it has changes of sign from + to -, and from - to +, in the terms of first member.

## Negative Roots :->

No equation can have a greater number of negative roots than there are changes of sign in terms of the polynomial  $f(-x)$ .

## Verification (Proof) :->

Let the signs of a polynomial be

+ + - + - + - -

The given polynomial has five changes. Now we multiply the given polynomial by a factor  $(x-h)$  corresponding to the positive root  $h$ .  
sign of this binomial is + -

+ + - + - + - -

+ -

+ + - + - + - -

- - + - + - + +

+ + - + - + - +

The resultant polynomial has two ambiguous sign, and we write

' $2^p$ ' different ways,  $p =$  number of ambiguous signs

+ + - + - + - + +

+ + - + - + - - +

+  ~~$\phi$~~  - + - + - + +

+ - - + - + - +

(They having six changes of sign)

In all possible ways the resulting polynomial has six changes of sign i.e; at least one more than the number of changes of signs in the original polynomial.

Hence it is clear that corresponding to the introduction of a positive root the resulting polynomial has at least one more change of sign.

Now if  $\phi(x)$  be the product of factors corresponding to -ve & complex roots and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$  be the positive roots  $(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)(x-\gamma) \dots$

Then each multiplication will introduce one more than change of sign.

Hence the number of positive roots cannot exceed the number of sign of  $f(x)=0$ .

Negative roots :  $\rightarrow$

We know that negative roots of  $f(x)=0$ , are positive roots of  $f(-x)=0$  &  $\phi_{oe}$  such the number of -ve roots of  $f(x)=0$  cannot exceed the number of changes of signs in  $f(-x)=0$ .